

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—May I know if any cases have been filed against these four persons?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—No cases as such were registered against these persons, but there are strong suspicions with regards to their activities in connection with certain cases that occurred.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—Were any proceedings launched against these people?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—No. But this is one of the actions to maintain peace in that area.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—May I know how long these people were holding licences for fire arms?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—From the records I have, I find the dates vary. One held licence from 1950, one from 1953, one from 1950 and one from 1949.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—May I know as to how and why these people became a menace to public peace just at the moment of elections.

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—It is not connected with elections in any manner. In fact, it is connected with certain incidents that took place much earlier to the election period.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—On whose report did the Government take to this suspicion against the conduct and character of these four persons. Was it on the report of the Police or the Congress Committees?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—The Police, of course.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—May I know whether Government would be willing to place these reports on the table of the House?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—It is not in public interest, Sir.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—Is Government aware that the police officer concerned made this report simply on the saying of the Congress Committee at Belgaum?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—No, Sir. It is based on actual facts.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—May I know the status of the two persons who have been given new licences?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—I would like to have notice to answer the question.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—How is it that only Marathi people have become a

menace to the public peace and the Kannada people require licences for their protection?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—They belong to the district of Belgaum and I do not know whether they are Marathi people or Kannadigas.

**Sri G. VENKATAGOWDA.**—May I know whether the Government has received any report in respect of any acts done or attempted to be done by these people in breach of peace?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—Yes.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—What are those overt acts on which this report is based?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Government has already replied that it is not in public interest to disclose that information.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—‘Public interest’ is a term which will engulf the whole world. I want to know the specific acts on which the Government has taken action.

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—I have already said that they were in connection with certain criminal cases which have occurred in that part of the district.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—Is Government aware that these four people were helping the Government in detecting illicit distillation and the sale of illicit liquor and that is why licences were granted to them?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—No, that is not a fact.

#### Warders for prisoners in Bangalore and Bellary Central Jails.

**Q.—663. Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY** (Chicknayakanahalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of prisoners that can be accommodated in Bangalore and Bellary Central Jails;

(b) the number of warders in the year 1956-57;

(c) the number of prisoners in the year 1956-57 in Bellary and Bangalore Jails?

A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Deputy Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).—

Central Jail, Bangalore.		Central Jail, Bellary.
(a) 742	...	840
(b) 111	...	59
(c) 717	...	227
(Daily average number)		(Daily average number)

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—The number of prisoners in Bangalore Central Jail is 742 and that in Bellary Central Jail is 840 while the number of warders in those Jails is 111 and 59, respectively. Even though the number of prisoners in Bellary Central Jail is more, the number of warders as compared with that in Bangalore Central Jail is less. What is the reason?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—The number of prisoners in the Bellary Central Jail as compared with that in the Bangalore Central Jail is less.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The number of warders varies according to the number of prisoners.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—My view is that the warders should be in proportion to the accommodation. Is it not a fact that the number of warders continues to be 111 in Bangalore Central Jail and 59 in the Bellary Central Jail since a long time?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Yes. The number of warders in the Central Jail, Bangalore, continues to be 111. Before the merger 59 warders were more and after the merger this number was reduced.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Is there any order of the Government to the effect that the number of warders should be a certain percentage of the number of prisoners?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is determined by the Government from time to time. I believe the ratio of 1:10 has to be maintained.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—If that is so, the number 111 is much more than this ratio.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—There is a minimum strength which is required

to be maintained. Over and above that minimum strength, the ratio of 1:10 is maintained.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What is the accommodation that is provided for women prisoners in Bangalore Central Jail and the Bellary Central Jail?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—The accommodation provided in the Bellary Central Jail is 30 and that in the Bangalore Central Jail is 48.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Is it a fact that the accommodation in the Bangalore Central Jail has been reduced as a portion of the building has been given for some other purpose?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It has not been reduced. The same accommodation capacity still continues.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—I rise to a point of order, Sir, what about my question No. 651?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ನಾಳೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಇವತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅವರ ಉಪ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರಾದರೂ ಹಾಜರು ಇರಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅವರೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇವರೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಹೀಗಾದರೆ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸುವ ಮಾದಿರ ಹಾಗೆ ಆಗುವದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಯಿಲೆ ಆಗಿರುವದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಉಪ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಊರಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಆರೀತಿ ಅವರು ನನಗೆ ಕಾಗದ ಬರೆದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ 'Employment to educated unemployed in the State' ಎಂಬ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇದೆ. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ನಾನು allow ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಯಾಕೆಂದರೆ, ಈ ವಿಷಯದ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಂದಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಈ ಗಾಗಲೇ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಇಷ್ಟೇ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅರ್ಧಗಂಟಿ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಸಹ ಆಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಂದಾಗ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳವರು ಹಾಜರು ಇದ್ದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವರಿಂದ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ನನಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತಿತ್ತು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಹಾಗಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ನಾನು disallow ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆನು. This has already been replied and so it should be disallowed.

1-30 P.M.

Sri G. ANNA RAO.—Sri, I submit that such of the questions which could not be taken up for reply, need not be printed at all; at least printing charges would be saved to that extent.

ಅದೃಷ್ಟರು.—ನರಿ, ಹಾಗೆ ಆಗಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಿಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಅರ್ಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇದರಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಅಮೇಲೆ ನಾನು ಯಾವದೇ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು disallow ಮಾಡಬಹುದು.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—It is in the Order list ; you are staying your own order. Except this, all other questions are allowed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That does not mean that they are allowed ; they will be taken up.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—I want a clarification in respect of this matter. When questions are printed in this list, they are printed with your authority. Then, is it not necessary that all the questions printed are taken up ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is a difference between 'taken up' and 'disallowed'. Since the question is announced, I say, it is disallowed on account of these reasons.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಲ್. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ.—ಒಬ್ಬ ರಾಜಕೀಯ ಕೈದಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ? ಹಾಗೂ ರಾಜಕೀಯ ಕೈದಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಗಂಡಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಹೆಂಗಸರು ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟು ಜನ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I am sorry, I do not have that information.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Of these 742 and 840, how many are juveniles ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I want notice.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—At least can the Deputy Minister answer whether there is separate accommodation for these juveniles ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I think there is separate accommodation in the Central Jail, Bangalore.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—If it is a matter of fact, the Deputy Minister can reply.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After all, this question is of a very general character. If the information is available, the Hon'ble Deputy Minister will give ; if the information is not there, it means that this question is of a general character and definite information is not available.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Let us not speculate, Sir ; let us be definite.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Shall I take it that the number of

warders is in proportion to the prisoners actually living in jail ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Sir, I have already said that the minimum strength of warders is not according to the population. A minimum strength is required and over and above that minimum, a proportion of 1:10 is maintained. Therefore, the strength is not the only consideration for fixing warders.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—On a point of order. The Chair allowed the member to put a question and the Minister answered it. But, the Chair is allowing again supplementaries to be put. Is it in order ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not see any inconsistency there ; there is no point of order.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—After the whole thing was over, the question is reopened.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After all, so many times so many questions are reopened. Why should it upset the Hon'ble Member if it is opened ? It is not a point of order in the first place.

### Construction of Foot-bridge on the Tunga River

Q.—910. Smt. RATNAMMA MADHAVA RAO (Shimoga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is not a fact that the plans and estimates pertaining to the construction of foot-bridge on the Tunga river from Shri Ramanna Shreshti Park to Urugadoor village were prepared by P.W.D. and sanctioned by them long before ;

(b) the reasons for delaying the construction of that foot-bridge ;

(c) whether they would take action for the early construction of that foot-bridge ?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) The plans and estimates were prepared but not sanctioned.

(b) The proposal was under consideration. It has now been decided